Threads Implementation

2024 Winter ECE 353: Systems Software Jon Eyolfson

Lecture 17 2.0.0

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Multithreading Models

Where do we implement threads? We can either do user or kernel threads

User threads are completely in user-space Kernel doesn't treat your threaded process any differently

Kernel threads are implemented in kernel-space Kernel manages everything for you, and can treat threads specially

Thread Support Requires a Thread Table

Similar to the process table we saw previously It could be in user-space or kernel-space (depending)

For user threads, there also needs to be a run-time system to determine scheduling

In both models each process can contain multiple threads

We Could Avoid System Calls, or Let a Thread Block Everything

For pure user-level threads (again, no kernel support):

- Very fast to create and destroy, no system call, no context switches
- One thread blocks, it blocks the entire process (kernel can't distinguish)

For kernel-level threads:

- Slower, creation involves system calls
- If one thread blocks, the kernel can schedule another one

All Threading Libraries You Use Run in User-mode

The thread library maps user threads to kernel threads

Many-to-one: threads completely implemented in user-space the kernel only sees one process

One-to-one: one user thread maps directly to one kernel thread the kernel handles everything

Many-to-many: many user-level threads map to many kernel level threads

Many-to-one is Pure User-space Implementation

It's fast (as outlined before) and portable It doesn't depend on the system, it's just a library

Drawbacks are that one thread blocking causes all threads to block Also, we cannot execute threads in parallel The kernel will only schedule a process to run

One-to-one Just Uses the Kernel Thread Implementation

There's just a thin wrapper around the system calls to make it easier to use

Exploits the full parallelism of your machine The kernel can schedule multiple threads simultaneously

We do however need to use a slower system call interface, and we lose some control

Typically, this is the actual implementation used, we'll assume this for Linux

Many-to-many is a Hybrid Approach

The idea is that there are more user-level threads than kernel-level threads Cap the number of kernel-level threads to the number we could run in parallel

We can get the most out of multiple CPUs and reduce the number of system calls

However, this leads to a complicated thread library (Java Virtual Threads) Depending on your mapping luck, you may block other threads

Threads Complicate the Kernel

How should fork work with a process with multiple threads? Copy all threads to the new process, in whatever state they're in? How would this get out of hand?

Linux only copies the thread that called fork into a new process If it hits pthread_exit it'll always exit with status 0 (at least as far as I can tell)

There's pthread_atfork (not covered in this course) to control what happens

Signals are Sent to a Process

Which thread should receive a signal? all of them?Linux will just pick one random thread to handle the signal Makes concurrency hard, any thread could be interrupted


Instead of Many-to-many, You Can Use a Thread Pool

The goal of many-to-many thread mapping is to avoid creation costs

A thread pool creates a certain number of threads and a queue of tasks (maybe as many threads as CPUs in the system)

As requests come in, wake them up and give them work to do

Reuse them, when there's no work, put them to sleep



You'll Implement Many-to-one

Your Scheduler Can Just Be Round Robin

Create a queue (list), run the thread at the front, when it yields at it to the back

You'll have to do the context switch (remember, you'll have to save the registers)

These are cooperative threads, so they have to be nice (next is preemptive threads)

Our Next Complication

Let's create a program that spawns 8 threads Each thread increments the same variable 10,000 times

What should the final value of the variable be? The initial value of the variable is 0

Run lectures/17-threads-implementation/pthread-datarace Can you fix it?

Both Processes and (Kernel) Threads Enable Parallelization

- Each process can have multiple (kernel) threads
- Most implementations use one-to-one user-to-kernel thread mapping
- The operating system has to manage what happens during a fork, or signals
- We now have synchronization issues